



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/669,877	09/27/2000	Randell L. Mills	62-231-1EL	4531
20736 7	590 09/09/2002			
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER 2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307		EXAMINER		
		LANGEL, WAYNE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	Ø
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

;

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

·				/T .
Office Action Commence	Application No. 669877	Applicant(s	Mills	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Lange	e/	Group Art Unit	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet be	eneath the o	correspondence add	dress—
Period for Reply	7			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH	(S) FROM THE MAIL	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, a reply within the statutory min efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to	imum of thirty m the mailing o become AB	(30) days will be consider date of this communica (35 U.S.C. §	ered timely. tion. 133).
Status	17-12			
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	(/ - 0 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excapactordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, pro c 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as	to the merits is clo	osed in
Disposition of Claims)				
Claim(s)		is/are	pending in the applic	cation.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.		
(Claim(s) / -28		is/are	rejected.	
Claim(s) / - 2 8				
Claim(s) / 28		is/are are su	objected to.	r election
☐ Claim(s)		is/are is/are are su requir	objected to. object to restriction of ement	r election
Claim(s)	is □ approved	is/are is/are are su requir	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Description Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are is/are are su requir	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are is/are are su requir	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are is/are are su requir	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved pjected to by the Examiner	is/are are su requir disappro	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s) Claim(is □ approved pjected to by the Examiner	is/are are su requir disappro	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s) Claim(is □ approved pjected to by the Examiner r.	is/are are su requir disappro	objected to. object to restriction of ment	r election
Claim(s) Claim(is approved bjected to by the Examiner of the control of the contr	is/are is/are are su requir disappro disappro -(d).	objected to. ubject to restriction or ement ved.	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is approved ojected to by the Examiner of the control of the contr	is/are is/are are su requir disapprov	objected to. ubject to restriction or ement ved.	r election
Claim(s) Claim(is approved bjected to by the Examiner of th	is/are is/are are su requir disapprov	objected to. ubject to restriction or ement ved.	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the cert	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of th	is/are is/are are su requir disapprov	objected to. ubject to restriction or ement ved.	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of the	is/are is/are are su requir □ disapprov -(d).	objected to. ubject to restriction of the ement of the em	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of the	is/are is/are are su requir □ disapprov -(d).	objected to. ubject to restriction or ement ved.	r election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies certi	is approved objected to by the Examiner of the	is/are is/are are su requir disapprov (d).	objected to. ubject to restriction of the ement of the em	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/669,877

Art Unit 1754

35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. See the reasons given in the last Office action.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. See the reasons given in the last Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed July 17, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. See the ATTACHMENT TO RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL

ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS

ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS

OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION

IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED

Art Unit 1754

STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

August 26, 2002

Mayne A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
DRIMARY EXAMINER